### Internet users beware of the e-mail virus on the loose

#### Internet users beware of e-mail virus on the loose

New Delhi

IF YOU thought the menace of computer viruses are under control, you are mistaken. Because what is now in the offing could best be described as the atom bomb among viruses.

An organisation in Atlanta, USA, called NISTSTEP has issued an Internet virus warning. If this warning is heeded then those who are surfing the Net for e-mail and other services may

want to pay close attention to this. While currently this warning applies to users of Prodigy, America OnLine, CompuServe and most of the other on-line services which provide e-mail access to the Net in USA, the Indian networks like ERNET, NIC-NIET, UUNET and Axcess might get hit soon.

Some miscreants are sending E-Mail under the title "Good Times" nationwide in USA. Considering the fact that India is now on the Information highway, "Good Times" may strike us

soon. According to NESTSTEP, if you get anything like "Good Times" you could be in for a bad time. Delete the file immediately. Without reading it. It essentially re-writes your hard drive, thereby blowing away anything that you had on it. You are left with an empty hard disk that insust be reformated and have all of your software re-loaded onto it. The FCC, an online news service, released a warning last week concerning a matter of major importance to any regular user of the Internet. Apparently, a new

destroyed. If the programme is not stopped, the computer's processor (brain chip) will be placed in an Nth complexity, infinite binary loop. In other words, this can severely damage the processor if left running for too long. Unfortunately most novice computer users will not realise what is happening until it is too late.

Luckly, there is one sure way to detect what is known as the "Good Times" wire. It always trained in the same way — in a text e-mail directory. It will then proceed to trash the computer it running on.

#### The Modernist Touch

THURSDAY APRIL 20, 1995

# 14 THE PIONER



#### FOOTLIGHTS

#### Manohar Khushalani

Ant Anant

SD Repertory put up P J Unnikrishnan's Ant Ana-nt based on H H Munro's The Background. The director Abhilash Pillai graduated from the NSD last year. It is a measure of the great confidence in him that he received such a prestigious assignment at such a young age,

The confidence, of course, sprung from his handling of the diploma production Lanka Lakshmi which was a runaway success.

Grab these visuals: sudden darkness in the auditorium as the play begins. A combination of Indian and Western music fills the theatre. Darkness continues for quite some time forcing you to listen to the music. The curtain rises belatedly. There is a screen on the right on which a still is projected showing a poster saying, "this is a beliance." is a holy war.'

In front there is a bed with someone under the covers. On the centre of the stage are counters with men and women cooking, peeling and ironing. In front of them, downstage are artists and sculptors working on their pieces of art. On the left is a glass cage with a man's life-size statue in padmasana.

The cage lights up. The sta-tue comes alive. A man with the figure of a Greek God wearing a headgear and a waistband that reminds one of Egyptian pain-tings, he breaks into an Indian dance motif.

The three women on the counters are wearing costumes that carry Japanese; Kathakali and Kashmiri influences respectively. Action takes place simultaneously all over the stage without a focus. On bed are Laila and Ishaq, and all the actors converge to wake them

up.
On a television monitor one sees computer graphics of a human body followed by scenes from the *Ramayan*. The dancer emerges from the glass cube, supported by two actors on two poles, which are held like a stretcher. The cyclorama lights up and an overhead video projector displays scenes from Second World War.

The tale revolves around Laila and Ishaq. However these two characters are catalysts on a vast canvas. One can safely assume it to be set in any spot in India-it projects a common ethos which displays the unity in diversity. It represents the onslaught of technologies, multi-media, dogmas, beliefs and religions of a common man from a rural background.

There is also the orchestration of theatre styles enveloped smoothly without a hitch. On the minus side was the lack of focus in the production.

The vastness of the canvas and the range of simultaneous action intrigued initially but became monotonous later. The actors too were not fully involved and appeared to be uncomfortable.

The kind of abandon and lack of inhibition required in a play of this genre was displayed only by Rohitashv Gaur, and to some extent by Gyan Prawere either speaking too loudly or without clarity. The sermons at the end of the play appeared to be a total departure from the style of the production. style of the production. For some, it was the first realisation that religion was the basic



Interplay of religion and politics

ing naturalism as well as video and pop art. The sequences do not follow a chronological order. Amongst the many themes and cross currents are various schools of painting, ranging from Kangra to modern art. Music and lighting, too, give a random feel.

It would be easy to be dismissive about a production like this. There is no doubt that modern Indian theatre needs to be much more experimental if it has to find new answers to reality. In that direction, Ant Anant took the necessary first

step.
There are, of course, many achievements as well as problems in this experiment. On the plus side are all the technological innovations which work-

context of the play.

It goes to Pillai's credit that he took such a big risk in his very first directorial venture.
While reading the director's note, it becomes apparent that there is a clarity of thought and a convergence in his understanding of the interplay of religion and politics. However, that clarity failed to emerge in the production.

One of the faults lay with the unreasonably short period of rehearsals. The production lacked in the coordination and orchestration of the flow of action.

But what has been proved by Abhilash, is, that we are capable, or at least, have the potential to evolve plays with the modernist touch.

#### **Educating Through Wit**

NOWE HE 13



CONFEDAY ADA

#### Educating through wit

Then the NSD-TIE invited Wolfgang Kolneder to produce a play for children, he had the advantage of a very talented cast along with an adept set and lights designer Nissar Allana, and to top it all a music director of the calibre of B V Karanth.

With such a formidable group of artistes, one would expect something more than just a competent production. Kolneder himself has a background of having done plays of the "theatre of the absurd" of playwrights like Ionesco, Beckett and Pinter. One expected that this experience would translate itself into elements of fantasy being used in the play. Talking trees and animals, the river and the

Talking trees and animals, the river and the drain as characters, did exist in Pani Phir Gaya Pani Par and their visualisation through Kirti V Sharma's costumes and M llyas' masks were attractive. However, their stylisation through drama (was no simplistic. The play has been adapted to Indian conditions from an original production by Grips Theatre.

Music, dance and song are the most effective tools of communication with children — and one wishes that much more of it had been used in the play. Except for Vani Tripathi, who also has a charming stage presence, there were no other singing voices. This is not to say that it

was not a neat production — except that one's expectations were higher.

Pani Phir Gaua Pani Par educates kids

Pani Phir Gaya Pani Par educates kids about water pollution. It shatters the myth that unlike cities, villages are free of environmental pollution. A father and son who get stifled by urban pollution decide to go to the countryside. In the village the city boy, Vicky (Abdul Latif), befriends the ∜llage lad, Bansi (Suwarn Rawat).

They take a voyage on the river in a raft and discover that there is a different set of problems in the villages. The water is highly polluted by human waste and dumping of hazardous chemicals by industries. This results in a chain of toxic deaths of animals and vegetation.

Many interesting characters have been introduced. The most effective among them were Laxmikant Shetgaonkar's Tendition of Pillai, Jaya Barnela's Nati and Charru Sharlma's Dadi.

Jyoti Dogra with her versatility in many different roles and Vani Tripathi with her clear diction and confident characterisation of the River were impressive. The duo also handled the fight between the river and the drain with gusto and good timing.

Manohar Khus<mark>halani</mark>

## Ambitious Student Production on Oedipus

#### ARTS

THE PIONEER 13

#### Ambitious production



#### **FOOTLIGHTS**

#### Manohar Khushalani

Shanshah Oedipus

The present batch of stu-dents of Shri Ram Centre Acting Course is an enthusiastic lot. One has seen two earlier productions of this batch, Neelkanth Nirala and Bhartendu directed by resident director of SRC, Sanjay Upadhyay.
Their latest production Shan-

shah Oedipus, based on Sophocles' Oedipus Rex, is directed by the NSD graduate, Pradeep Vernekar, who is a senior lecturer at the Lalit Kala

Akademi in Goa.
Sanjay Upadhyay's earlier productions displayed the students' right training and orien-tation. They showed that the students were being exposed to the kind of text essential for good grooming of a theatre

Now, after the completion of the first year, the students took up an ambitious production of a script which has in it the complexity and sensitivity of an epic like Shakespeare's epic ii Macbeth.

Macbeth.

Sophocles', the playwright of
the fifth century BC, belonged
to a time when Athens was at
the peak of its cultural, political and economic development. Even if he were to be compared

Even if he were to be compared with his great fellow Greek tragedians, Aeschylus and Euripides, he would be considered peerless in creating drama based on perplexing dilemmas of human experience.

His plays brought him recognition and respect during his lifetime itself. Something not many playwrights have been fortunate to receive. Among others, no less a person than Aristotle believed that Oedipus

Rex. was Sophocles' greatest work.

Obviously, a student production cannot be reviewed by the same yardstick as a professional one, and one year is not sufficient for trainees to mature into full-fledged actors. Keeping this in year it is heartening. ing this in view, it is heartening to learn that Panna Bharat Ram has decided to extend the

acting course to two years. The set design of the play had two pillars on an elevated platform, with a long flight of steps, at the bottom of which was lit an urn which emitted perfum-ed smoke. The combination of the smoke and Girdhari's mood lighting created the right kind of atmosphere for a classical play. Nevertheless, the perfor-mance of the actors was quite crime would rid the city of the

crime would rid the city of the pall of gloom.

The play demonstrates the inability of a man to escape from his own destiny. Oedipus' fate traps him as he unknowingly kills his own father, Laius, and marries his mother Jocasta, putting her in an unenviable predicament of begetting a father from a father and children from a child.

Oedipus discovers this truth through a self-destructive obsession to unearth the facts ousession to unearth the facts about Laius' slayer. The result of his investigation leads Jocasta to hang herself as he himself undergoes the torture of becoming self blinded.

In Sophoclean drama, disaster or misfortune are never a chance happenstance. There is

The play demonstrates the inability of a man to escape from his own destiny. Oedipus' fate traps him as he unknowingly kills his own father, Laius, and marries his mother Jocasta, putting her in an unenviable predicament of begetting a father from a father and children from a child. Oedipus discovers this truth through a self-destructive obsession to unearth the facts about Laius' slayer

uneven.

In fact, when Murad Ali Khan was on stage as Tiraceous, the old prophet, one felt like walking out. However, later the show gelled together and one was glad that one had stayed on. One wonders why the direction between the desired Murad on, one wonders why the direc-tor had not restrained Murad from putting up a performance so obviously shabby that it threatened to ruin the entire

The play opens in Thebus, where death has cast its shadow through a dreaded epi-demic. Shanshah Oedipus is informed that according ing to him only the exile of the perpetrator of the heinous

always a meaning behind grief. Tragedy always metamor-phoses into a honing of the spirit. Death and agony are always a regeneration. The Greek chorus interprets trage-

Greek chorus interprets tragedy to keep the balance.

However, with the exception of Vandana Gupta and Sarita Jha, the chorus which is such an important element of a Greek play was weak and tripped repeatedly on their dialogues. Neelam Ghildyal was too restrained as Jocasta.

This criticism aside, the actors' performances were by and large adequate. Ujiwal Singh Rana, in particular, shone as Oedipus as he displâyed a good range of emotions. J N Kaushal's translation was easy on the ears as it gave a easy on the ears as it gave a good feel of the classic.

#### Problems of Survival





#### The travails of travel



#### **FOOTLIGHTS**

Manohar Khushalani

Rahul by SRC Rep

hri Ram Centre Repertory put up its latest production, Rahul. at its main auditorium. The play was based on the life story and ideas of Rahul Sanskritayan, the famous Indian traveller, poet, thinker and writer who died in April 1963 and who again made news last year when his statue was installed in Darjeeling and a street there was named after him.

made news last year when his statue was installed in Darjeeling and a street there was named after him.

The set consisted of a low circular platform with three ramps. The play opened with the poet on a wheelchair silhouetted in the beam which projected onto the cyclorama, a side of Rahul's facial close-up. The slide is becoming a frequently used device and the director tried to create a variation by installing a smaller screen in front of the cyclorama. Thus the pictures were projected on the two planes and this gave some depth to the two-dimensional images.

Sanjay Upadhyay, the resident theatre director of SRC Repertory, has been consistent in his choice of scripts both for the acting course as well as the repertory. After working on difficult subjects like Nirala, Mahendra Simir and Bhartendu, he decided to evolve the story of the mercurial Rahul Sanskritayan, in collaboration with the scriptwirer Anubha.

The script was developed by the style of presentation in which Rahul was represented by three actors who took over from each other very smoothly.

This representation of the same character by different actors prevented the audience from getting empathetically involved in the life story of San

skritayan. While this had a Brechtian alienation effect, at the same time, the three actors, Rajesh Tiwari, Shiv Gupta and A Ri Shammi were so cast as terpersent three different aspects of Rahul's ideology. Thus fecus was more on lace then on the story line. The repertory does not have a single actor powerful enough to project the gigantic personality. The subdivision, therefore, served the purpose of experiments of the multi-dimensional personality of Rahul.

talist society. I do not have to worry much about Lola and Igor since a socialist state provides for its citizens."

Rahul's childhood marriage, and more marriages later, his embracing the Buddhist faith, his travels to China, Tibet, Nepal and Sri Lanka, his involvement in the freedom movement as well as the peasant rebellion, are some of the many aspects of his story which would be too difficult to encompass, Sanjay Upadhyay's choipass. Sanjay Upadhyay's choi-ce of a semi-documentary style



A man of strong likes and dislikes, he had a blind love for the
Hindi language. But this did not
create an inhibiting effect on
his personality. The fact that he
knew 40 languages proved that
he was not a psychophant and
was a linguist in a true sense.
His political ideology swung
from Marxism and socialism to
religion. Thus he could encompass opposing ideologies by taking the best from each of them
during various phases of his
life. His embracing different
communities and getting absorbed in their culture displayed a

of presentation can therefore be understood. But perhaps be understood. But perhaps some playwright could also attempt to capture the emotion-al drama behind the dilemmas of the man who tragically died a schizophrenic.

of the man who tragically died a schizophrenic.
What Sanskritayan wrote after Tilak's death hold's good for him too. Beej boya tha jiska tune yahan' Khoon se sinchat tha jise tu yahan' Phool lagne ka us pe cakt aaya' Nazre daurin na tu nazar aaya. (You were nowhere to be seen when time came for you to enjoy the fruits of your own labour.)

## Whose Life is it Anyway ? Manohar Khushalani



## Old Fashioned Charm by Manohar Khushalani



## Original for a Change by Manohar Khushalani



More of College by Manohar Khushalani



## Cardboard Characters by Manohar Khushalani

